

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

---

UNITED STATES,

Plaintiff,

v.

KENNETH A. WHITE,

Defendant.

---

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

CASE NO. 1:14-cr-00185

OPINION & ORDER  
[Resolving Doc. [261](#)]

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE:

Defendant Kenneth A. White moves for resentencing under the “Equal Act” and for appointment of counsel.<sup>1</sup> The government opposes White’s request.<sup>2</sup>

On April 6, 2015, this Court sentenced Defendant White to a total of 155 months of incarceration and three years of supervised release.<sup>3</sup> On June 8, 2021, this Court denied Defendant White’s motion for compassionate release.<sup>4</sup>

Defendant White now asks the Court to resentence him based on “the new law change: . . . the acquitted conduct aspect of the Equal Act.”<sup>5</sup> Because White gives no explanation of what the “Equal Act” is, or how it supports his motion for resentencing, the Court **DENIES** Defendant White’s motion for resentencing and appointment of counsel.<sup>6</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 17, 2021

s/ *James S. Gwin*  
JAMES S. GWIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup> Doc. [261](#).

<sup>2</sup> Doc. [262](#).

<sup>3</sup> Doc. [127](#).

<sup>4</sup> Doc. [260](#).

<sup>5</sup> Doc. [261](#) at 1.

<sup>6</sup> *United States v. Sandridge*, 385 F.3d 1032, 1035–36 (6th Cir. 2004) (“It is not sufficient for a party to mention a possible argument in the most skeletal way, leaving the court to . . . put flesh on its bones.”) (internal citation omitted).